HENRY TIMROD ELEMENTARY 1901 East Old Marion Highway Florence, SC 29506 PK-6 Elementary School GRADES 416 Students ENROLLMENT Thurmond Williams 843-664-8454 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Joseph S. Nelson, Ed.D. 843-669-4141 Mrs. Doris Lockhart 843-664-0050 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Good Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 27 60 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: ND This school met 15 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Below Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	30	49	0				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	93.1%	54.2%	FORMS				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	86.2%	54.2%	LOST IN				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	53.3%	72 9%	SHIPMENT				

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP olo Proficient and State Objective July of Testing olo Belom Baeic olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 224 100.0 37.9 46.0 15.2 0.9 16.1 17.6 Gender Male 105 100.0 44.9 42.9 12.2 N/A 12.2 17.6 Female 100.0 31.9 48.7 17.7 1.8 19.5 17.6 119 Racial/Ethnic Group 100.0 34.7 45.3 17.9 2.1 20.0 17.6 White 101 African-American 100.0 40.9 46.1 13.0 N/A 13.0 17.6 122 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Hispanic 17.6 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1 American Indian/Alaskan 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 34.8 47.8 189 16.3 1.1 17.4 17.6 Disabled 35 100.0 54.5 36.4 9.1 N/A 9.1 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Non-migrant 224 100.0 37.9 46.0 15.2 0.9 16.1 17.6 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 0.0 17.6 Non-limited English proficient 100.0 37.9 46.0 15.2 0.9 16.1 17.6 224 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 100.0 44.0 44.7 10.7 0.7 11.3 17.6 158 Full-pay meals 66 100.0 23.0 49.2 26.2 1.6 27.9 17.6 **Mathematics** All students 224 100.0 25.6 51.2 21.3 1.9 23.2 15.5 Gender Male 22.4 100.0 28.6 46.9 2.0 24.5 105 15.5 Female 100.0 23.0 54.9 20.4 1.8 22.1 15.5 119 Racial/Ethnic Group White 100.0 20.0 47.4 28.4 4.2 32.6 15.5 101 African-American 122 100.0 30.4 53.9 15.7 N/A 15.7 15.5 Asian/Pacific Islander N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Hispanic 100.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 22.5 51.7 23.6 2.2 25.8 15.5 189 Disabled 100.0 42.4 48.5 N/A 15.5 35 9.1 9.1 Migrant Status N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A Non-migrant 224 100.0 25.6 51.2 21.3 1.9 23.2 15.5 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Non-limited English proficient 224 100.0 25.6 51.2 21.3 1.9 23.2 15.5 Socio-Economic Status

31.3

11.5

158

66

100.0

100.0

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

52.7

47.5

15.3

36.1

16.0

41.0

15.5

15.5

0.7

4.9

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		alle	ier des	lester al Be	ONL	Basile	Profile	Advar olo Profit
		Enrolle	's de la servición de la servi	0/08	ol.	0/0	0/0	Advar olo Profic
					n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	46	N/A	39.1	32.6	28.3	N/A	28.3
	Grade 4	56	N/A	33.9	41.1	25.0	N/A	25.0
2002	Grade 5	50	N/A	42.9	46.9	10.2	N/A	10.2
2	Grade 6	44	N/A	27.3	47.7	22.7	2.3	25.0
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	46	100.0	22.2	48.9	28.9	N/A	28.9
	Grade 4	55	100.0	28.3	54.7	17.0	N/A	17.0
8	Grade 5	65	100.0	43.3	51.7	5.0	N/A	5.0
2003	Grade 6	58	100.0	54.7	28.3	13.2	3.8	17.0
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	s		
	Grade 3	46	N/A	32.6	47.8	17.4	2.2	19.6
	Grade 4	56	N/A	30.4	44.6	16.1	8.9	25.0
2002	Grade 5	50	N/A	40.8	32.7	14.3	12.2	26.5
20	Grade 6	44	N/A	22.7	54.5	15.9	6.8	22.7
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	46	100.0	26.7	51.1	22.2	N/A	22.2
	Grade 4	55	100.0	13.2	56.6	30.2	N/A	30.2
2003	Grade 5	65	100.0	18.3	61.7	18.3	1.7	20.0
20	Grade 6	58	100.0	45.3	34.0	15.1	5.7	20.8
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROF	

SCHOOL PROFILE				
(Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 416)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	4.5%	Down from 5.5%	2.7%	2.4%
Attendance rate	95.3%	Up from 93.7%	95.5%	95.9%
Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	7.1%	Down from 7.3%	10.3%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech	8.6%	Up from 6.3%	8.8%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	2.6%	Up from 2.4%	1.4%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 29)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	41.4% 79.3%	Up from 34.5% Down from 89.7%	46.2% 85.3%	50.0% 85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year		Up from 78.6%	86.0%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	95.8%	Up from 95.6%	95.2%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$35,893	Up 3.3%	\$39,348	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	15.4 days	Down from 16.1 days	12.1 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from 3.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	17.3 to 1	Down from 17.8 to 1	18.6 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.6%	Up from 87.9%	89.6%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,404	Up 14.3%	\$6,049	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	64.0%	No change	66.0%	66.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	Up from Fair	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	No change	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to oboug in high payorty cabacle	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

We had a very productive year at Timrod Elementary School. Our students did their best in all areas, even in the midst of budget cuts. Some of the accomplishments of our students are the following:

- *The fifth grade team and sixth grade team both placed first in the Florence One Engineering Challenge.
- *Fifth and sixth grade students were given the opportunity to participate in strings and band.
- *Members of the Junior Beta Club and the Brigadiers, student service clubs, participated in school and community service projects.
- *Some of our sixth grade students did a morning news show.
- *Some of our students participated in publishing a school newspaper.

All of our professional staff was trained in Standards in Practice, and teams met weekly to implement this program throughout the year. A group of teachers met voluntarily throughout the year to study the best methods to teach language arts. We will pilot a "school within a school" program to teach language arts differently next year as a result of what this group learned. One of our teachers received National Board Certification. Others will pursue this certification. One of our kindergarten teachers received a Time Warner Grant and a Sam's Club Environmental Grant. Our School Improvement Council was very active in all areas of the school. Twenty 4-year-olds participated in our Child Development program. We had two Reading Recovery teachers.

Timrod meets the learning styles of our students. We are a place for children.

Thurmond Williams, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.